

Part 3, Remarks:

Reexamination and reconsideration of this case is respectfully requested in view of the Amendments to the claims in Section 2 above and the Remarks set forth in the Response dated 12-07-2007 which is incorporated by reference herein..

Claims 1 – 126 are in this case. Claims 1 – 46 and 126 are being examined, claims 47 – 125 having been withdrawn as a result of the Restriction Requirement. No claims have been canceled.

Claim 86 is new. It is dependent from Claim 85 and is the same as original claim 81, so no new matter has been added.


CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of Claims 1 – 46 and 126 as clearly patentable over the art of record.

Sincerely,

Kendrick W. Kam, et al.

January 4, 2008

by 

Jacques M. Dulin, Esq.
Attorney for Applicants

Innovation Law Group, Ltd.
237 North Sequim Avenue
Sequim, WA 98382-3456

Phone: 360-681-7305
Fax: 360-681-7315
Email: dulin@innovationlaw.com

End of Part 3, Remarks

End of Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Response filed Dec 7, 2007